SINGLE BENCH (3)

C.D. 1021

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**
**W.P. NO. 1408 /2005(S.B.)**

**PETITIONER** : **VIJAY KUMAR BARETH,**
S/o SADANNAND BARETH,
Aged about 42 years,
Occupation- peon, in office of Mahila & Bal Vikash Pariyojna Pousser ,
R/oPousser Raigarh
Tahsil & Distt. Raigarh (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1326/05
Presented by Shri VIVEK Pandey
dated 29 CBr 05

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Mahila & Bal Vikash Pariyojna
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner
Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. District Working Officer
Mahila & Bal Vikash Pariyojna
Collectorete Raigarh (C.G.)

4. Development Officer
Mahila & Bal Vikash Pariyojna
Poussar Raigarh (C.G.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.**

XI-HC-22

(20)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**आदेश पत्रक**

**मामला क्रमांक** cp 1408/05 **सन्** **200**

**विरुद्ध**

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**11.4.2005**

Shri Vivek Kumar Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State.

The petitioner's case is that he was appointed as Peon in the office of Naveen Bal Vikash Pariyozna Pousser, Raigarh on 22.11.1989. Thereafter, in the year1996 a promotion list was issued by respondent No.2 in which the persons junior to the petitioner have been promoted but the petitioner has not been promoted. Aggrieved by that order the petitioner has filed an O.A. No.1730/99 before the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, from where said OA has been transferred to SAT, Raipur and now SAT, Raipur has already been abolished and State Government has issued notification that all the pending matters in the SAT shall be heard by this Court, therefore, that OA be withdrawn to this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3 raising all his grievances and respondent No.3 be directed to decide his representation, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to respondent No.3 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within another three months from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner.

In view of this, O.A. No.1730/99 pending before SAT, Raipur is withdrawn and this writ petition & O.A. No.1730/99 stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-**
**L.C. Bhadoo**
**Judge**

13.4.05

25/6/07